arXiv:1703.03266v2 [math.AT] 10 Apr 2017

ON THE DIJKGRAAF-WITTEN INVARIANT OF THE n-TORUS[†]

HUA-LIN HUANG, ZHEYAN WAN AND YU YE

Abstract. We construct a chain map from the normalized bar resolution to the tensor resolution for a given finite abelian group, then we provide a unified formulae for the normalized 4-cocycles and a formulae for a part of the normalized n-cocycles on arbitrary finite abelian groups. As an application of these formulae, we give a formula for the Dijkgraaf-Witten invariant of the n-torus for all n in the case that the finite group G in the definition of that invariant is abelian and compute its exact number in the special case that G is the product of at most n cyclic groups. Finally we obtain the formula for the dimension of the irreducible projective representations of an abelian group G as a by-product.

1. Introduction

The Dijkgraaf-Witten invariant of 3-manifolds was introduced by the two authors [1] naming it, Freed [2] generalized it to arbitrary dimension.

Recall the definition of the Dijkgraaf-Witten invariant: Let G be a finite group and let $[\omega] \in H^n(BG; U(1))$. For a closed oriented n-manifold M, the Dijkgraaf-Witten invariant (DW invariant for short) of M is defined as

$$Z^{[\omega]}(M) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{\phi:\pi_1(M)\to G} \langle f_\phi^*[\omega], [M]\rangle,$$

where $f_\phi : M \to BG$ is a map inducing ϕ on the fundamental group, which is determined by ϕ up to homotopy, $[M]$ is the fundamental class of M, and $\langle , \rangle$ is the pairing $H^n(M; U(1)) \times H_n(M; \mathbb{Z}) \to U(1)$.

For a given finite abelian group G, the formulae of a chain map from the normalized bar resolution to the tensor resolution up to the third term and the unified formulae for the normalized 3-cocycles on G have been given in [4]. In this paper, we generalize the results therein and construct a chain map from the normalized bar resolution to the tensor resolution including all terms and obtain a unified formulae for the normalized 4-cocycles and a formulae for a part of the normalized n-cocycles on G.

Throughout the paper, let $\Bbbk$ be an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero. By $\Bbbk^*$ we denote the multiplicative group $\Bbbk - \{0\}$.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, for a given finite abelian group G we consider the tensor resolution of the minimal resolutions of its cyclic factors and construct a chain map, from the normalized bar resolution to the tensor resolution. With a help of this chain map, in Section 3 we provide an explicit and unified formulae for the normalized 4-cocycles on G, in Section 4, we provide an formulae for a part of the normalized n-cocycles

Key words and phrases. Dijkgraaf-Witten invariant, group cohomology, n-cocycles, n-torus.

[†]The first author is supported by NSFC 11371186, the second and third authors are supported by NSFC 11571329.

on G. In Section 5, we give a formula for the Dijkgraaf-Witten invariant of the n-torus for all n in the case that the finite group G in the definition of that invariant is abelian and compute its exact number in the special case that G is the product of at most n cyclic groups. Finally in Section 6, combining our formula for the DW invariant of T^2 and the formula given in [6], we obtain the formula for the dimension of the irreducible projective representations of an abelian group G.

2. The tensor resolution and a chain map

Let G be a group and $(B_\bullet, \partial_\bullet)$ its normalized bar resolution. Applying $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}G}(-, \Bbbk^*)$ one gets a complex $(B_\bullet^*, \partial_\bullet^*)$. Denote the group of normalized n-cocycles by $Z^n(G, \Bbbk^*)$, which is $\operatorname{Ker}\partial_n^*$. In general, it is hard to determine $Z^n(G, \Bbbk^*)$ directly as the normalized bar resolution is over too huge. Our strategy of overcoming this is to get first a simpler resolution of G whose cocycles are easy to compute and then construct a chain map from the bar resolution to it which will help to determine $Z^n(G, \Bbbk^*)$ eventially.

2.1. **The tensor resolution.** From now on let G be a finite abelian group. Write $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_{m_1} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_{m_n}$ and for every $\mathbb{Z}_{m_i}$ fix a generator g_i for $1 \le i \le n$. It is well known that the following periodic sequence is a free resolution of the trivial $\mathbb{Z}_{m_i}$-module $\mathbb{Z}$:

$$\cdots \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}\mathbb{Z}_{m_i} \xrightarrow{T_i} \mathbb{Z}\mathbb{Z}_{m_i} \xrightarrow{N_i} \mathbb{Z}\mathbb{Z}_{m_i} \xrightarrow{T_i} \mathbb{Z}\mathbb{Z}_{m_i} \xrightarrow{N_i} \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow 0, \tag{2.1}$$

where $T_i = g_i - 1$ and $N_i = \sum_{j=0}^{m_i-1} g_i^j$. Denote $(g_i)_r := \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} g_i^j$ for $1 \le i \le n$.

We construct the tensor product of the above periodic resolutions of the cyclic factors of G. Specifically, let $K_\bullet$ be the following complex of free $\mathbb{Z}G$-modules. For each sequence $a_1, \ldots, a_n$ of nonnegative integers, let $\Phi(a_1, \ldots, a_n)$ be a free generator in degree $a_1 + \cdots + a_n$. Thus

$$K_m := \bigoplus_{a_1+\cdots+a_n=m} (\mathbb{Z}G)\Phi(a_1, \ldots, a_n).$$

Define

$$d_i(\Phi(a_1, \ldots, a_n)) = \begin{cases} 0 & a_i = 0 \\ (-1)^{\sum_{l<i} a_l} N_i \Phi(a_1, \ldots, a_i - 1, \ldots, a_n) & 0 \ne a_i \text{ even} \\ (-1)^{\sum_{l<i} a_l} T_i \Phi(a_1, \ldots, a_i - 1, \ldots, a_n) & 0 \ne a_i \text{ odd} \end{cases}$$

for $1 \le i \le n$ and the differential d is set to be $d_1 + \cdots + d_n$.

Lemma 2.1. *$(K_\bullet, d_\bullet)$ is a free resolution of the trivial $\mathbb{Z}G$-module $\mathbb{Z}$.*

Proof. By observing that $(K_\bullet, d_\bullet)$ is exactly the tensor product complex of (2.1), the lemma follows by the Künneth formula for complexes; see [7, Theorem 3.6.3]. □

For the convenience of the exposition, we fix some notations before moving on. For any $1 \le r \le n$, define $\Phi_r := \Phi(0, \ldots, 1, \ldots, 0)$ where 1 lies in the r-th position. For any $1 \le r \le s \le n$, define $\Phi_{rs} := \Phi(0, \ldots, 1, \ldots, 1, \ldots, 0)$ where 1 lies in the r-th and s-th positions if $r < s$ and $\Phi_{rr} := \Phi(0, \ldots, 2, \ldots, 0)$ where 2 lies in the r-th position. Similarly, we define $\Phi_{rrr}, \Phi_{rrs}, \Phi_{rss}$ and Φ_{rst} for $1 \le r \le n$, $1 \le r < s \le n$ and $1 \le r < s < t \le n$ and define $\Phi_{rrrr}, \Phi_{rrrs}, \Phi_{rsss}, \Phi_{rrss}, \Phi_{rrst}, \Phi_{rsst}, \Phi_{rstt}$ and Φ_{rstu} for $1 \le r \le n$, $1 \le r < s \le n$, $1 \le r < s < t \le n$ and $1 \le r < s < t < u \le n$.

It is clear that any cochain $f \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}G}(K_4, \Bbbk^*)$ is uniquely determined by its values on $\Phi_{rrrr}, \Phi_{rrrs}, \Phi_{rsss}, \Phi_{rrss}, \Phi_{rrst}, \Phi_{rsst}, \Phi_{rstt}$ and Φ_{rstu} for $1 \leq r \leq n$, $1 \leq r < s \leq n$, $1 \leq r < s < t \leq n$ and $1 \leq r < s < t < u \leq n$. Write $f_{rrrr} = f(\Phi_{rrrr})$, $f_{rrrs} = f(\Phi_{rrrs})$, $f_{rsss} = f(\Phi_{rsss})$, $f_{rrss} = f(\Phi_{rrss})$, $f_{rrst} = f(\Phi_{rrst})$, $f_{rsst} = f(\Phi_{rsst})$, $f_{rstt} = f(\Phi_{rstt})$ and $f_{rstu} = f(\Phi_{rstu})$.

Lemma 2.2. *The 4-cochain $f \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}G}(K_4, \Bbbk^*)$ is a cocycle if and only if*

$$f_{rrrs}^{m_r} = f_{rrrs}^{m_s} = 1$$

$$f_{rsss}^{m_r} = f_{rsss}^{m_s} = 1$$

$$f_{rsst}^{m_r} f_{rrst}^{m_s} = f_{rstt}^{m_s} f_{rsst}^{m_t} = f_{rstt}^{m_r} f_{rrst}^{-m_t} = 1$$

$$f_{rstu}^{m_r} = f_{rstu}^{m_s} = f_{rstu}^{m_t} = f_{rstu}^{m_u} = 1$$

for $1 \leq r < s \leq n$, $1 \leq r < s < t \leq n$ and $1 \leq r < s < t < u \leq n$.

Proof. By definition, f is a 4-cocycle if and only if $1 = d^*(f)(\Phi_{rstuv}) = f(d(\Phi_{rstuv}))$ for all $1 \leq r \leq s \leq t \leq u \leq v \leq n$. For any $a \in \Bbbk^*$, clearly $T_i \cdot a = 1$ since $\Bbbk^*$ is a trivial G-module.

$$f(d(\Phi_{rrrrs})) = f(N_r \Phi_{rrrs} + T_s \Phi_{rrrr}) = f_{rrrs}^{m_r} = 1$$

$$f(d(\Phi_{rrrss})) = f(T_r \Phi_{rrss} - N_s \Phi_{rrrs}) = f_{rrrs}^{-m_s} = 1$$

$$f(d(\Phi_{rrsss})) = f(N_r \Phi_{rsss} + T_s \Phi_{rrss}) = f_{rsss}^{m_r} = 1$$

$$f(d(\Phi_{rssss})) = f(T_r \Phi_{ssss} - N_s \Phi_{rsss}) = f_{rsss}^{-m_s} = 1$$

$$f(d(\Phi_{rrsst})) = f(N_r \Phi_{rsst} + N_s \Phi_{rrst} + T_t \Phi_{rrss}) = f_{rsst}^{m_r} f_{rrst}^{m_s} = 1$$

$$f(d(\Phi_{rsstt})) = f(T_r \Phi_{sstt} - N_s \Phi_{rstt} - N_t \Phi_{rsst}) = f_{rstt}^{-m_s} f_{rsst}^{-m_t} = 1$$

$$f(d(\Phi_{rrstt})) = f(N_r \Phi_{rstt} + T_s \Phi_{rrtt} - N_t \Phi_{rrst}) = f_{rstt}^{m_r} f_{rrst}^{-m_t} = 1$$

$$f(d(\Phi_{rrstu})) = f(N_r \Phi_{rstu} + T_s \Phi_{rrtu} - T_t \Phi_{rrsu} + T_u \Phi_{rrst}) = f_{rstu}^{m_r} = 1$$

$$f(d(\Phi_{rsstu})) = f(T_r \Phi_{sstu} - N_s \Phi_{rstu} - T_t \Phi_{rssu} + T_u \Phi_{rsst}) = f_{rstu}^{-m_s} = 1$$

$$f(d(\Phi_{rsttu})) = f(T_r \Phi_{sttu} - T_s \Phi_{rttu} + N_t \Phi_{rstu} + T_u \Phi_{rstt}) = f_{rstu}^{m_t} = 1$$

$$f(d(\Phi_{rstuu})) = f(T_r \Phi_{stuu} - T_s \Phi_{rtuu} + T_t \Phi_{rsuu} - N_u \Phi_{rstu}) = f_{rstu}^{-m_u} = 1$$

for $1 \leq r < s \leq n$, $1 \leq r < s < t \leq n$ and $1 \leq r < s < t < u \leq n$. □

Lemma 2.3. *The 4-cochain $f \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}G}(K_4, \Bbbk^*)$ is a coboundary if and only if for all $1 \leq r \leq s \leq t \leq n$, there are $g_{rst} \in \Bbbk^*$ such that*

$$f_{rrrr} = g_{rrr}^{m_r}$$

$$f_{rrss} = g_{rss}^{m_r} g_{rrs}^{m_s}$$

$$f_{rsss} = f_{rrrs} = f_{rstu} = 1$$

$$f_{rrst} = g_{rst}^{m_r}$$

$$f_{rsst} = g_{rst}^{-m_s}$$

$$f_{rstt} = g_{rst}^{m_t}$$

for $1 \leq r \leq n$, $1 \leq r < s \leq n$, $1 \leq r < s < t \leq n$ and $1 \leq r < s < t < u \leq n$.

Proof. By definition, f is a coboundary if and only if $f = d^*(g)$ for some 3-cochain $g \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}G}(K_3, \Bbbk^*)$.

$$f_{rrrr} = g(d(\Phi_{rrrr})) = g(N_r\Phi_{rrr}) = g_{rrr}^{m_r}$$
$$f_{rrss} = g(d(\Phi_{rrss})) = g(N_r\Phi_{rss} + N_s\Phi_{rrs}) = g_{rss}^{m_r}g_{rrs}^{m_s}$$
$$f_{rsss} = g(d(\Phi_{rsss})) = g(T_r\Phi_{sss} - T_s\Phi_{rss}) = 1$$
$$f_{rrrs} = g(d(\Phi_{rrrs})) = g(T_r\Phi_{rrs} - T_s\Phi_{rrr}) = 1$$
$$f_{rrst} = g(d(\Phi_{rrst})) = g(N_r\Phi_{rst} + T_s\Phi_{rrt} - T_t\Phi_{rrs}) = g_{rst}^{m_r}$$
$$f_{rsst} = g(d(\Phi_{rsst})) = g(T_r\Phi_{sst} - N_s\Phi_{rst} - T_t\Phi_{rss}) = g_{rst}^{-m_s}$$
$$f_{rstt} = g(d(\Phi_{rstt})) = g(T_r\Phi_{stt} - T_s\Phi_{rtt} + N_t\Phi_{rst}) = g_{rst}^{m_t}$$
$$f_{rstu} = g(d(\Phi_{rstu})) = g(T_r\Phi_{stu} - T_s\Phi_{rtu} + T_t\Phi_{rsu} - T_u\Phi_{rst}) = 1$$

for $1 \le r \le n$, $1 \le r < s \le n$, $1 \le r < s < t \le n$ and $1 \le r < s < t < u \le n$. □

For a set of natural numbers $s_1, \dots, s_t$, by $(s_1, \dots, s_t)$ we denote their greatest common divisor.

Proposition 2.4.

$$\mathrm{H}^4(G, \Bbbk^*) \cong \prod_{1\le r<s\le n} \mathbb{Z}_{(m_r,m_s)} \times \prod_{1\le r<s\le n} \mathbb{Z}_{(m_r,m_s)} \times \prod_{1\le r<s<t\le n} \mathbb{Z}_{(m_r,m_s,m_t)} \times \prod_{1\le r<s<t\le n} \mathbb{Z}_{(m_r,m_s,m_t)} \times \prod_{1\le r<s<t<u\le n} \mathbb{Z}_{(m_r,m_s,m_t,m_u)}.$$

Proof. By Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3, for a 4-cocycle f one can assume that f_{rrrs} and f_{rsss} are (m_r, m_s)-th root of unity and f_{rstu} is an (m_r, m_s, m_t, m_u)-th root of unity for all $1 \le r < s \le n$ and $1 \le r < s < t < u \le n$. By Lemma 2.3, one can take $g_{rrr} = f_{rrrr}^{\frac{1}{m_r}}$ and thus one can assume that $f_{rrrr} = 1$. One can take $g_{rrs} = 1$ and $g_{rss} = f_{rrss}^{\frac{1}{m_r}}$ and thus one can assume that $f_{rrss} = 1$. One can take $g_{rst} = f_{rrst}^{\frac{1}{m_r}}$ and thus one can assume that $f_{rrst} = 1$ and $g_{rst}^{m_r} = 1$ for all $1 \le r < s < t \le n$. By $f_{rsst}^{m_r}f_{rrst}^{m_s} = f_{rstt}^{m_s}f_{rsst}^{m_t} = f_{rstt}^{m_r}f_{rrst}^{-m_t} = 1$, one has $f_{rsst}^{m_r} = f_{rstt}^{m_r} = f_{rstt}^{m_s}f_{rsst}^{m_t} = 1$. Then we need only to compute the homology group of the complex

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{m_r} \xrightarrow{f} \mathbb{Z}_{m_r} \times \mathbb{Z}_{m_r} \xrightarrow{g} \mathbb{Z}_{m_r} \longrightarrow 0$$

where $f(d) = (-m_s d, m_t d)$ and $g(a, b) = m_t a + m_s b$.

Since there exists $u, v \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $(u, v) = 1$ such that $m_t u + m_s v = (m_s, m_t)$, we have $m_r | (m_t u + m_s v)\frac{m_r}{(m_r,m_s,m_t)}$. For all $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $m_r | (m_t a + m_s b) = (m_s, m_t)(\frac{m_t}{(m_s,m_t)}a + \frac{m_s}{(m_s,m_t)}b)$, we have $\frac{m_r}{(m_r,m_s,m_t)} | (\frac{m_t}{(m_s,m_t)}a + \frac{m_s}{(m_s,m_t)}b) = \frac{m_r}{(m_r,m_s,m_t)}c$. Hence $m_t(a - u\frac{m_r}{(m_r,m_s,m_t)}c) + m_s(b - v\frac{m_r}{(m_r,m_s,m_t)}c) = 0$. Therefore $a - u\frac{m_r}{(m_r,m_s,m_t)}c = \frac{-m_s}{(m_s,m_t)}d$, $b - v\frac{m_r}{(m_r,m_s,m_t)}c = \frac{m_t}{(m_s,m_t)}d$. So the homology group of the above complex is

$$((u\frac{m_r}{(m_r,m_s,m_t)}, v\frac{m_r}{(m_r,m_s,m_t)})\mathbb{Z}_{m_r} + (\frac{-m_s}{(m_s,m_t)}, \frac{m_t}{(m_s,m_t)})\mathbb{Z}_{m_r})/(-m_s, m_t)\mathbb{Z}_{m_r}$$

which is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}^2_{(m_r,m_s,m_t)}$. □

For any natural number m, once and for all we fix ζ_m to be a primitive m-th root of unity.

Corollary 2.5. *The following*

$$\left\{ f \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}G}(K_4, \Bbbk^*) \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{l} f_{rrrs} = \zeta_{(m_r,m_s)}^{a_{rs}}, f_{rsss} = \zeta_{(m_r,m_s)}^{b_{rs}}, f_{rrst} = 1, \\ f_{rsst} = \zeta_{m_r}^{a_{rst}u\frac{m_r}{(m_r,m_s,m_t)}+b_{rst}\frac{-m_s}{(m_s,m_t)}}, f_{rstt} = \zeta_{m_r}^{a_{rst}v\frac{m_r}{(m_r,m_s,m_t)}+b_{rst}\frac{m_t}{(m_s,m_t)}} \\ f_{rstu} = \zeta_{(m_r,m_s,m_t,m_u)}^{a_{rstu}} \text{for } 1 \le r < s \le n,\ 1 \le r < s < t \le n, \\ 1 \le r < s < t < u \le n \text{ and } 0 \le a_{rs}, b_{rs} < (m_r, m_s), \\ 0 \le a_{rst}, b_{rst} < (m_r, m_s, m_t),\ 0 \le a_{rstu} < (m_r, m_s, m_t, m_u) \end{array} \right\}$$

makes a complete set of representatives of 4*-cocycles of the complex* $(K_\bullet^*, d_\bullet^*)$ *where* $u, v \in \mathbb{Z}$ *such that* $m_t u + m_s v = (m_s, m_t)$.

Proof. There exists $d \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $(m_s, m_t)d \equiv (m_r, m_s, m_t) \bmod m_r$, so $(m_r, m_s, m_t)\frac{-m_s}{(m_s,m_t)} \equiv -m_s d \bmod m_r$ and $(m_r, m_s, m_t)\frac{m_t}{(m_s,m_t)} \equiv m_t d \bmod m_r$. □

2.2. **A chain map.** We need some more notations to present the chain map. For any positive integers s and t, let $[\frac{s}{t}]$ denote the integer part of $\frac{s}{t}$ and let s'_t denote the remainder of division of s by t. When there is no risk of confusion, we drop the subscript and write simply s'. The following observation is useful in later arguments.

Lemma 2.6. *For any three natural numbers* s, t, r*, one has*

$$[\frac{s+t'_r}{r}] = [\frac{s+t}{r}] - [\frac{t}{r}]. \tag{2.2}$$

Proof. $[\frac{s+t'_r}{r}] = [\frac{s+t-[\frac{t}{r}]r}{r}] = [\frac{s+t}{r}] - [\frac{t}{r}]$. □

Now we are ready to give a chain map, from the normalized bar resolution $(B_\bullet, \partial_\bullet)$ to the tensor resolution $(K_\bullet, d_\bullet)$. Recall that B_m is the free $\mathbb{Z}G$-module on the set of all symbols $[h_1, \ldots, h_m]$ with $h_i \in G$ and $m \ge 1$. In case $m = 0$, the symbol $[\,]$ denote $1 \in \mathbb{Z}G$ and the map $\partial_0 = \epsilon :\ B_0 \to \mathbb{Z}$ sends $[\,]$ to 1.

We define the following four morphisms of $\mathbb{Z}G$-modules:

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_1: \quad & B_1 \longrightarrow K_1\\
& [g_1^{i_1}\cdots g_n^{i_n}] \mapsto \sum_{s=1}^{n} g_1^{i_1}\cdots g_{s-1}^{i_{s-1}}(g_s)_{i_s}\Phi_s;\\
F_2: \quad & B_2 \longrightarrow K_2\\
& [g_1^{i_1}\cdots g_n^{i_n}, g_1^{j_1}\cdots g_n^{j_n}] \mapsto \sum_{s=1}^{n} g_1^{i_1+j_1}\cdots g_{s-1}^{i_{s-1}+j_{s-1}}[\frac{i_s+j_s}{m_s}]\Phi_{s,s}\\
& -\sum_{1\le s<t\le n} g_1^{i_1}\cdots g_{t-1}^{i_{t-1}} g_1^{j_1}\cdots g_{s-1}^{j_{s-1}}(g_s)_{j_s}(g_t)_{i_t}\Phi_{s,t};\\
F_3: \quad & B_3 \longrightarrow K_3\\
& [g_1^{i_1}\cdots g_n^{i_n}, g_1^{j_1}\cdots g_n^{j_n}, g_1^{k_1}\cdots g_n^{k_n}] \mapsto\\
& \sum_{r=1}^{n}[\frac{j_r+k_r}{m_r}]g_1^{j_1+k_1}\cdots g_{r-1}^{j_{r-1}+k_{r-1}} g_1^{i_1}\cdots g_{r-1}^{i_{r-1}}(g_r)_{i_r}\Phi_{r,r,r} +\\
& \sum_{1\le r<t\le n}[\frac{j_r+k_r}{m_r}]g_1^{j_1+k_1}\cdots g_{r-1}^{j_{r-1}+k_{r-1}} g_1^{i_1}\cdots g_{t-1}^{i_{t-1}}(g_t)_{i_t}\Phi_{r,r,t} +\\
& \sum_{1\le r<t\le n}[\frac{i_t+j_t}{m_t}]g_1^{i_1+j_1}\cdots g_{t-1}^{i_{t-1}+j_{t-1}} g_1^{k_1}\cdots g_{r-1}^{k_{r-1}}(g_r)_{k_r}\Phi_{r,t,t} -\\
& \sum_{1\le r<s<t\le n} g_1^{i_1}\cdots g_{t-1}^{i_{t-1}}(g_t)_{i_t} g_1^{j_1}\cdots g_{s-1}^{j_{s-1}}(g_s)_{j_s} g_1^{k_1}\cdots g_{r-1}^{k_{r-1}}(g_r)_{k_r}\Phi_{r,s,t};\\
F_4: \quad & B_4 \longrightarrow K_4\\
& [g_1^{i_1}\cdots g_n^{i_n}, g_1^{j_1}\cdots g_n^{j_n}, g_1^{k_1}\cdots g_n^{k_n}, g_1^{l_1}\cdots g_n^{l_n}] \mapsto\\
& \sum_{r=1}^{n}[\frac{k_r+l_r}{m_r}][\frac{i_r+j_r}{m_r}]g_1^{i_1+j_1+k_1+l_1}\cdots g_{r-1}^{i_{r-1}+j_{r-1}+k_{r-1}+l_{r-1}}\Phi_{r,r,r,r} +\\
& \sum_{1\le r<s\le n}[\frac{k_r+l_r}{m_r}]g_1^{k_1+l_1}\cdots g_{r-1}^{k_{r-1}+l_{r-1}}[\frac{i_s+j_s}{m_s}]g_1^{i_1+j_1}\cdots g_{s-1}^{i_{s-1}+j_{s-1}}\Phi_{r,r,s,s} -\\
& \sum_{1\le r<s\le n}[\frac{j_s+k_s}{m_s}]g_1^{j_1+k_1}\cdots g_{s-1}^{j_{s-1}+k_{s-1}} g_1^{l_1}\cdots g_{r-1}^{l_{r-1}}(g_r)_{l_r} g_1^{i_1}\cdots g_{s-1}^{i_{s-1}}(g_s)_{i_s}\Phi_{r,s,s,s} -\\
& \sum_{1\le r<s\le n}[\frac{k_r+l_r}{m_r}]g_1^{k_1+l_1}\cdots g_{r-1}^{k_{r-1}+l_{r-1}} g_1^{j_1}\cdots g_{r-1}^{j_{r-1}}(g_r)_{j_r} g_1^{i_1}\cdots g_{s-1}^{i_{s-1}}(g_s)_{i_s}\Phi_{r,r,r,s} -\\
& \sum_{1\le r<s<t\le n}[\frac{k_r+l_r}{m_r}]g_1^{k_1+l_1}\cdots g_{r-1}^{k_{r-1}+l_{r-1}} g_1^{j_1}\cdots g_{s-1}^{j_{s-1}}(g_s)_{j_s} g_1^{i_1}\cdots g_{t-1}^{i_{t-1}}(g_t)_{i_t}\Phi_{r,r,s,t} -\\
& \sum_{1\le r<s<t\le n}[\frac{j_s+k_s}{m_s}]g_1^{j_1+k_1}\cdots g_{s-1}^{j_{s-1}+k_{s-1}} g_1^{l_1}\cdots g_{r-1}^{l_{r-1}}(g_r)_{l_r} g_1^{i_1}\cdots g_{t-1}^{i_{t-1}}(g_t)_{i_t}\Phi_{r,s,s,t} -\\
& \sum_{1\le r<s<t\le n}[\frac{i_t+j_t}{m_t}]g_1^{i_1+j_1}\cdots g_{t-1}^{i_{t-1}+j_{t-1}} g_1^{l_1}\cdots g_{r-1}^{l_{r-1}}(g_r)_{l_r} g_1^{k_1}\cdots g_{s-1}^{k_{s-1}}(g_s)_{k_s}\Phi_{r,s,t,t} +\\
& \sum_{1\le r<s<t<u\le n} g_1^{l_1}\cdots g_{r-1}^{l_{r-1}}(g_r)_{l_r} g_1^{k_1}\cdots g_{s-1}^{k_{s-1}}(g_s)_{k_s} g_1^{j_1}\cdots g_{t-1}^{j_{t-1}}(g_t)_{j_t} g_1^{i_1}\cdots g_{u-1}^{i_{u-1}}(g_u)_{i_u}\Phi_{r,s,t,u}
\end{aligned}
$$

for $0 \le i_r, j_r, k_r, l_r < m_r$ and $1 \le r \le n$.

In general, let $\alpha := (\alpha_{11}, \ldots, \alpha_{1n}, \ldots, \alpha_{k1}, \ldots, \alpha_{kn})$ where $0 \leq \alpha_{ij} < m_j$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$. For $1 \leq r \leq n$, $[a,b] \subseteq [1,k]$, $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$ and α, denote

$$\xi^{\alpha}_{r,[a,b]} := \begin{cases} [\frac{\alpha_{br}+\alpha_{b-1,r}}{m_r}] \cdots [\frac{\alpha_{a+1,r}+\alpha_{ar}}{m_r}] g_1^{\alpha_{b1}+\cdots+\alpha_{a1}} \cdots g_{r-1}^{\alpha_{b,r-1}+\cdots+\alpha_{a,r-1}} & a-b \text{ odd} \\ [\frac{\alpha_{br}+\alpha_{b-1,r}}{m_r}] \cdots [\frac{\alpha_{a+2,r}+\alpha_{a+1,r}}{m_r}] g_1^{\alpha_{b1}+\cdots+\alpha_{a,1}} \cdots g_{r-1}^{\alpha_{b,r-1}+\cdots+\alpha_{a,r-1}} (g_r)_{\alpha_{ar}} & a-b \text{ even} \end{cases}$$

Define

$$\begin{aligned} F_k : \quad & B_k \longrightarrow K_k \\ & [g_1^{\alpha_{11}} \cdots g_n^{\alpha_{1n}}, \ldots, g_1^{\alpha_{k1}} \cdots g_n^{\alpha_{kn}}] \mapsto \\ & \sum_{l=1}^{k} \sum_{1 \leq r_1 < \cdots < r_l \leq n} (-1)^{\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq l} \lambda_i \lambda_j} \xi^{\alpha}_{r_1,[a_1,b_1]} \cdots \xi^{\alpha}_{r_l,[a_l,b_l]} \Phi \underbrace{r_1, \ldots, r_1}_{\lambda_1}, \ldots, \underbrace{r_l, \ldots, r_l}_{\lambda_l} \end{aligned}$$

where $a_l = 1, b_l = \lambda_l, \ldots, a_1 = \lambda_2 + \cdots + \lambda_l + 1, b_1 = \lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_l = k$.

Proposition 2.7. *The following diagram is commutative*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccccc} \cdots & \longrightarrow & B_3 & \xrightarrow{\partial_3} & B_2 & \xrightarrow{\partial_2} & B_1 & \xrightarrow{\partial_1} & B_0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle F_3} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle F_2} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle F_1} & & \| & & \| & & \\ \cdots & \longrightarrow & K_3 & \xrightarrow{d} & K_2 & \xrightarrow{d} & K_1 & \xrightarrow{d} & K_0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z} & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

Proof. **Claim:** $dF_k = F_{k-1}\partial_k$**.** For $1 \leq r \leq n$, $[a,b] \subseteq [1,k]$, $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$, denote

$$\lambda_{r,[a,b]} := \begin{cases} N_r & a-b \text{ odd} \\ T_r & a-b \text{ even} \end{cases}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} d\Phi \underbrace{r_1, \ldots, r_1}_{\lambda_1}, \ldots, \underbrace{r_l, \ldots, r_l}_{\lambda_l} \quad = \quad & \lambda_{r_1,[a_1,b_1]} \Phi \underbrace{r_1, \ldots, r_1}_{\lambda_1 - 1}, \ldots, \underbrace{r_l, \ldots, r_l}_{\lambda_l} + \\ & (-1)^{\lambda_1} \lambda_{r_2,[a_2,b_2]} \Phi \underbrace{r_1, \ldots, r_1}_{\lambda_1}, \underbrace{r_2, \ldots, r_2}_{\lambda_2 - 1}, \ldots, \underbrace{r_l, \ldots, r_l}_{\lambda_l} + \cdots + \\ & (-1)^{\lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_{l-1}} \lambda_{r_l,[a_l,b_l]} \Phi \underbrace{r_1, \ldots, r_1}_{\lambda_1}, \ldots, \underbrace{r_l, \ldots, r_l}_{\lambda_l - 1} \end{aligned}$$

where $a_l = 1, b_l = \lambda_l, \ldots, a_1 = \lambda_2 + \cdots + \lambda_l + 1, b_1 = \lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_l = k$.

Let $\alpha = (\alpha_{11}, \ldots, \alpha_{1n}, \ldots, \alpha_{k1}, \ldots, \alpha_{kn}) = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k)$ where $0 \leq \alpha_{ij} < m_j$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$ and $\alpha_u = (\alpha_{u1}, \ldots, \alpha_{un})$ for $1 \leq u \leq k$. Denote

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha' &= (\alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_k) \\ \alpha'_u &= (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{u-1}, \alpha_u + \alpha_{u+1}, \alpha_{u+2}, \ldots, \alpha_k) \quad 1 \leq u \leq k-1 \\ \alpha'' &= (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{k-1}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_k([g_1^{\alpha_{11}} \cdots g_n^{\alpha_{1n}}, \ldots, g_1^{\alpha_{k1}} \cdots g_n^{\alpha_{kn}}]) \quad = \quad & g_1^{\alpha_{11}} \cdots g_n^{\alpha_{1n}} [g_1^{\alpha_{21}} \cdots g_n^{\alpha_{2n}}, \ldots, g_1^{\alpha_{k1}} \cdots g_n^{\alpha_{kn}}] \\ + \quad & \sum_{u=1}^{k-1} (-1)^u [g_1^{\alpha_{11}} \cdots g_n^{\alpha_{1n}}, \ldots, g_1^{\alpha_{u-1,1}} \cdots g_n^{\alpha_{u-1,n}}, \\ & g_1^{\alpha_{u1}+\alpha_{u+1,1}} \cdots g_n^{\alpha_{un}+\alpha_{u+1,n}}, g_1^{\alpha_{u+2,1}} \cdots g_n^{\alpha_{u+2,n}}, \\ & \ldots, g_1^{\alpha_{k1}} \cdots g_n^{\alpha_{kn}}] \\ + \quad & (-1)^k [g_1^{\alpha_{11}} \cdots g_n^{\alpha_{1n}}, \ldots, g_1^{\alpha_{k-1,1}} \cdots g_n^{\alpha_{k-1,n}}] \end{aligned}$$

The coefficient of $\Phi_{\underbrace{r_1,\dots,r_1}_{\lambda_1},\dots,\underbrace{r_l,\dots,r_l}_{\lambda_l}}$ in $F_{k-1}\partial_k([g_1^{\alpha_{11}}\cdots g_n^{\alpha_{1n}},\dots,g_1^{\alpha_{k1}}\cdots g_n^{\alpha_{kn}}])$ is

$$(-1)^{\sum_{1\le i<j\le l}\lambda_i\lambda_j}\quad(\quad g_1^{\alpha_{11}}\cdots g_n^{\alpha_{1n}}\xi^{\alpha'}_{r_1,[a_1,b_1]}\cdots\xi^{\alpha'}_{r_l,[a_l,b_l]}+\sum_{u=1}^{k-1}(-1)^u\xi^{\alpha'_u}_{r_1,[a_1,b_1]}\cdots\xi^{\alpha'_u}_{r_l,[a_l,b_l]}$$
$$+(-1)^k\xi^{\alpha''}_{r_1,[a_1,b_1]}\cdots\xi^{\alpha''}_{r_l,[a_l,b_l]})$$

where $a_l=1,b_l=\lambda_l,\dots,a_1=\lambda_2+\cdots+\lambda_l+1,b_1=\lambda_1+\cdots+\lambda_l=k-1$.

The coefficient of $\Phi_{\underbrace{r_1,\dots,r_1}_{\lambda_1},\dots,\underbrace{r_l,\dots,r_l}_{\lambda_l}}$ in $dF_k([g_1^{\alpha_{11}}\cdots g_n^{\alpha_{1n}},\dots,g_1^{\alpha_{k1}}\cdots g_n^{\alpha_{kn}}])$ is

$$\begin{aligned}
&(-1)^{\sum_{1\le i<j\le l}\lambda_i\lambda_j+\sum_{i=2}^l\lambda_i}\xi^{\alpha}_{r_1,[\lambda_2+\cdots+\lambda_l+1,k]}\cdots\xi^{\alpha}_{r_l,[1,\lambda_l]}\lambda_{r_1,[\lambda_2+\cdots+\lambda_l+1,k]}+\cdots\\
&+(-1)^{\sum_{1\le i<j\le l}\lambda_i\lambda_j+\sum_{i=1}^{l-1}\lambda_i}\xi^{\alpha}_{r_1,[\lambda_2+\cdots+\lambda_l+2,k]}\cdots\xi^{\alpha}_{r_l,[1,\lambda_l+1]}\lambda_{r_l,[1,\lambda_l+1]}(-1)^{\lambda_1+\cdots+\lambda_{l-1}}\\
&+\sum_{s=1}^{r_1-1}(-1)^{\sum_{1\le i<j\le l}\lambda_i\lambda_j+\sum_{i=1}^l\lambda_i}\xi^{\alpha}_{r_1,[\lambda_2+\cdots+\lambda_l+1,k-1]}\cdots\xi^{\alpha}_{r_l,[1,\lambda_l]}\xi^{\alpha}_{s,[k,k]}\lambda_{s,[k,k]}\\
&+\sum_{s=r_1+1}^{r_2-1}(-1)^{\sum_{1\le i<j\le l}\lambda_i\lambda_j+\sum_{i=1}^l\lambda_i}\xi^{\alpha}_{r_1,[\lambda_2+\cdots+\lambda_l+2,k]}\xi^{\alpha}_{r_2,[\lambda_3+\cdots+\lambda_l+1,\lambda_2+\cdots+\lambda_l]}\cdots\xi^{\alpha}_{r_l,[1,\lambda_l]}\cdot\\
&\xi^{\alpha}_{s,[\lambda_2+\cdots+\lambda_l+1,\lambda_2+\cdots+\lambda_l+1]}(-1)^{\lambda_1}\lambda_{s,[\lambda_2+\cdots+\lambda_l+1,\lambda_2+\cdots+\lambda_l+1]}+\cdots\\
&+\sum_{s=r_l+1}^{n}(-1)^{\sum_{1\le i<j\le l}\lambda_i\lambda_j+\sum_{i=1}^l\lambda_i}\xi^{\alpha}_{r_1,[\lambda_2+\cdots+\lambda_l+2,k]}\cdots\xi^{\alpha}_{r_l,[2,\lambda_l+1]}\xi^{\alpha}_{s,[1,1]}(-1)^{\sum_{i=1}^l\lambda_i}\lambda_{s,[1,1]}
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\xi^{\alpha}_{s,[a,a]}\cdot\lambda_{s,[a,a]}=g_1^{\alpha_{a1}}\cdots g_{s-1}^{\alpha_{a,s-1}}(g_s^{\alpha_{a,s}}-1)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{s=1}^{r_1-1}(-1)^{\sum_{1\le i<j\le l}\lambda_i\lambda_j+\sum_{i=1}^l\lambda_i}\xi^{\alpha}_{r_1,[\lambda_2+\cdots+\lambda_l+1,k-1]}\cdots\xi^{\alpha}_{r_l,[1,\lambda_l]}\xi^{\alpha}_{s,[k,k]}\lambda_{s,[k,k]}\\
=\;&(-1)^{\sum_{1\le i<j\le l}\lambda_i\lambda_j+\sum_{i=1}^l\lambda_i}\xi^{\alpha}_{r_1,[\lambda_2+\cdots+\lambda_l+1,k-1]}\cdots\xi^{\alpha}_{r_l,[1,\lambda_l]}(g_1^{\alpha_{k1}}\cdots g_{r_1-1}^{\alpha_{k,r_1-1}}-1),\\
&\sum_{s=r_1+1}^{r_2-1}(-1)^{\sum_{1\le i<j\le l}\lambda_i\lambda_j+\sum_{i=1}^l\lambda_i}\xi^{\alpha}_{r_1,[\lambda_2+\cdots+\lambda_l+2,k]}\xi^{\alpha}_{r_2,[\lambda_3+\cdots+\lambda_l+1,\lambda_2+\cdots+\lambda_l]}\cdots\xi^{\alpha}_{r_l,[1,\lambda_l]}\cdot\\
&\xi^{\alpha}_{s,[\lambda_2+\cdots+\lambda_l+1,\lambda_2+\cdots+\lambda_l+1]}(-1)^{\lambda_1}\lambda_{s,[\lambda_2+\cdots+\lambda_l+1,\lambda_2+\cdots+\lambda_l+1]}\\
=\;&(-1)^{\sum_{1\le i<j\le l}\lambda_i\lambda_j+\sum_{i=2}^l\lambda_i}\xi^{\alpha}_{r_1,[\lambda_2+\cdots+\lambda_l+2,k]}\xi^{\alpha}_{r_2,[\lambda_3+\cdots+\lambda_l+1,\lambda_2+\cdots+\lambda_l]}\cdots\xi^{\alpha}_{r_l,[1,\lambda_l]}\cdot\\
&(g_1^{\alpha_{\lambda_2+\cdots\lambda_l+1,1}}\cdots g_{r_2-1}^{\alpha_{\lambda_2+\cdots+\lambda_l+1,r_2-1}}-g_1^{\alpha_{\lambda_2+\cdots\lambda_l+1,1}}\cdots g_{r_1}^{\alpha_{\lambda_2+\cdots+\lambda_l+1,r_1}}),\\
&\cdots,\\
&\sum_{s=r_l+1}^{n}(-1)^{\sum_{1\le i<j\le l}\lambda_i\lambda_j+\sum_{i=1}^l\lambda_i}\xi^{\alpha}_{r_1,[\lambda_2+\cdots+\lambda_l+2,k]}\cdots\xi^{\alpha}_{r_l,[2,\lambda_l+1]}\xi^{\alpha}_{s,[1,1]}(-1)^{\sum_{i=1}^l\lambda_i}\lambda_{s,[1,1]}\\
=\;&(-1)^{\sum_{1\le i<j\le l}\lambda_i\lambda_j}\xi^{\alpha}_{r_1,[\lambda_2+\cdots+\lambda_l+2,k]}\cdots\xi^{\alpha}_{r_l,[2,\lambda_l+1]}(g_1^{\alpha_{11}}\cdots g_n^{\alpha_{1n}}-g_1^{\alpha_{11}}\cdots g_{r_l}^{\alpha_{1,r_l}}).
\end{aligned}$$

For $1 \leq r \leq n$, $[a,b] \subseteq [1,k-1]$, $a,b \in \mathbb{N}$ and α, we have $\xi^{\alpha'}_{r,[a,b]} = \xi^{\alpha}_{r,[a+1,b+1]}$, $\xi^{\alpha''}_{r,[a,b]} = \xi^{\alpha}_{r,[a,b]}$. $\xi^{\alpha'_u}_{r,[a,b]} = \xi^{\alpha}_{r,[a+1,b+1]}$ for $u < a$, $\xi^{\alpha'_u}_{r,[a,b]} = \xi^{\alpha}_{r,[a,b]}$ for $u > b$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{u=1}^{k-1}(-1)^u \xi^{\alpha'_u}_{r_1,[a_1,b_1]} \cdots \xi^{\alpha'_u}_{r_l,[a_l,b_l]} \\
= & \sum_{i=1}^{l}\sum_{u=a_i}^{b_i}(-1)^u \xi^{\alpha}_{r_1,[a_1+1,b_1+1]} \cdots \xi^{\alpha}_{r_{i-1},[a_{i-1}+1,b_{i-1}+1]} \xi^{\alpha'_u}_{r_i,[a_i,b_i]} \xi^{\alpha}_{r_{i+1},[a_{i+1},b_{i+1}]} \cdots \xi^{\alpha}_{r_l,[a_l,b_l]}
\end{aligned}
$$

To prove this claim, we need only to prove

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i=1}^{l}\sum_{u=a_i}^{b_i}(-1)^u \xi^{\alpha}_{r_1,[a_1+1,b_1+1]} \cdots \xi^{\alpha}_{r_{i-1},[a_{i-1}+1,b_{i-1}+1]} \xi^{\alpha'_u}_{r_i,[a_i,b_i]} \xi^{\alpha}_{r_{i+1},[a_{i+1},b_{i+1}]} \cdots \xi^{\alpha}_{r_l,[a_l,b_l]} \\
= & (-1)^{\sum_{i=2}^{l}\lambda_i} \xi^{\alpha}_{r_1,[a_1,b_1+1]} \cdots \xi^{\alpha}_{r_l,[a_l,b_l]} \lambda_{r_1,[a_1,b_1+1]} + \cdots \\
& + \xi^{\alpha}_{r_1,[a_1+1,b_1+1]} \cdots \xi^{\alpha}_{r_l,[a_l,b_l+1]} \lambda_{r_l,[a_l,b_l+1]} \\
& + (-1)^{\sum_{i=1}^{l}\lambda_i} \xi^{\alpha}_{r_1,[a_1,b_1]} \cdots \xi^{\alpha}_{r_l,[a_l,b_l]} g_1^{\alpha_{k1}} \cdots g_{r_1-1}^{\alpha_{k,r_1-1}} \\
& + (-1)^{\sum_{i=2}^{l}\lambda_i} \xi^{\alpha}_{r_1,[a_1+1,b_1+1]} \xi^{\alpha}_{r_2,[a_2,b_2]} \cdots \xi^{\alpha}_{r_l,[a_l,b_l]} \cdot \\
& (g_1^{\alpha_{a_1,1}} \cdots g_{r_2-1}^{\alpha_{a_1,r_2-1}} - g_1^{\alpha_{a_1,1}} \cdots g_{r_1}^{\alpha_{a_1,r_1}}) + \cdots \\
& + \xi^{\alpha}_{r_1,[a_1+1,b_1+1]} \cdots \xi^{\alpha}_{r_l,[a_l+1,b_l+1]} (-g_1^{\alpha_{11}} \cdots g_{r_l}^{\alpha_{1,r_l}}) \\
= & \sum_{i=1}^{l}(-1)^{a_i+1} \xi^{\alpha}_{r_{i-1},[a_{i-1}+1,b_{i-1}+1]} \cdots \xi^{\alpha}_{r_1,[a_1+1,b_1+1]} \xi^{\alpha}_{r_{i+1},[a_{i+1},b_{i+1}]} \cdots \xi^{\alpha}_{r_l,[a_l,b_l]} \cdot \\
& \xi^{\alpha}_{r_i,[a_i,b_i+1]} \lambda_{r_i,[a_i,b_i+1]} \\
& + \sum_{i=1}^{l}(-1)^{a_{i-1}+1} \xi^{\alpha}_{r_{i-1},[a_{i-1}+1,b_{i-1}+1]} \cdots \xi^{\alpha}_{r_1,[a_1+1,b_1+1]} \xi^{\alpha}_{r_i,[a_i,b_i]} \cdots \xi^{\alpha}_{r_l,[a_l,b_l]} \cdot \\
& g_1^{\alpha_{a_{i-1},1}} \cdots g_{r_i-1}^{\alpha_{a_{i-1},r_i-1}} \\
& + \sum_{i=1}^{l}(-1)^{a_i} \xi^{\alpha}_{r_{i-1},[a_{i-1}+1,b_{i-1}+1]} \cdots \xi^{\alpha}_{r_1,[a_1+1,b_1+1]} \xi^{\alpha}_{r_{i+1},[a_{i+1},b_{i+1}]} \cdots \xi^{\alpha}_{r_l,[a_l,b_l]} \cdot \\
& \xi^{\alpha}_{r_i,[a_i+1,b_i+1]} g_1^{\alpha_{a_i,1}} \cdots g_{r_i}^{\alpha_{a_i,r_i}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $a_l = 1, b_l = \lambda_l, \ldots, a_1 = \lambda_2 + \cdots + \lambda_l + 1, b_1 = \lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_l = k-1$.

If $a_i - b_i$ is odd, $\xi^{\alpha}_{r_i,[a_i,b_i+1]}\lambda_{r_i,[a_i,b_i+1]} = \xi^{\alpha}_{r_i,[a_i+1,b_i+1]}(g_1^{\alpha_{a_i,1}}\cdots g_{r_i}^{\alpha_{a_i,r_i}} - g_1^{\alpha_{a_i,1}}\cdots g_{r_i-1}^{\alpha_{a_i,r_i-1}})$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (-1)^{a_i+1}\xi^{\alpha}_{r_{i-1},[a_{i-1}+1,b_{i-1}+1]}\cdots\xi^{\alpha}_{r_1,[a_1+1,b_1+1]}\xi^{\alpha}_{r_{i+1},[a_{i+1},b_{i+1}]}\cdots\xi^{\alpha}_{r_l,[a_l,b_l]}\cdot \\
& \xi^{\alpha}_{r_i,[a_i,b_i+1]}\lambda_{r_i,[a_i,b_i+1]} \\
& +(-1)^{a_{i-1}+1}\xi^{\alpha}_{r_{i-1},[a_{i-1}+1,b_{i-1}+1]}\cdots\xi^{\alpha}_{r_1,[a_1+1,b_1+1]}\xi^{\alpha}_{r_i,[a_i,b_i]}\cdots\xi^{\alpha}_{r_l,[a_l,b_l]}\cdot \\
& g_1^{\alpha_{a_{i-1},1}}\cdots g_{r_i-1}^{\alpha_{a_{i-1},r_i-1}} \\
& +(-1)^{a_i}\xi^{\alpha}_{r_{i-1},[a_{i-1}+1,b_{i-1}+1]}\cdots\xi^{\alpha}_{r_1,[a_1+1,b_1+1]}\xi^{\alpha}_{r_{i+1},[a_{i+1},b_{i+1}]}\cdots\xi^{\alpha}_{r_l,[a_l,b_l]}\cdot \\
& \xi^{\alpha}_{r_i,[a_i+1,b_i+1]}g_1^{\alpha_{a_i,1}}\cdots g_{r_i}^{\alpha_{a_i,r_i}} \\
= \;& (-1)^{b_i}\xi^{\alpha}_{r_{i-1},[a_{i-1}+1,b_{i-1}+1]}\cdots\xi^{\alpha}_{r_1,[a_1+1,b_1+1]}\xi^{\alpha}_{r_i,[a_i,b_i]}\cdots\xi^{\alpha}_{r_l,[a_l,b_l]}\cdot \\
& g_1^{\alpha_{b_i+1,1}}\cdots g_{r_i-1}^{\alpha_{b_i+1,r_i-1}} \\
& +(-1)^{a_i}\xi^{\alpha}_{r_{i-1},[a_{i-1}+1,b_{i-1}+1]}\cdots\xi^{\alpha}_{r_1,[a_1+1,b_1+1]}\xi^{\alpha}_{r_{i+1},[a_{i+1},b_{i+1}]}\cdots\xi^{\alpha}_{r_l,[a_l,b_l]}\cdot \\
& \xi^{\alpha}_{r_i,[a_i+1,b_i+1]}g_1^{\alpha_{a_i,1}}\cdots g_{r_i-1}^{\alpha_{a_i,r_i-1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{u=a_i}^{b_i}(-1)^u\xi^{\alpha'_u}_{r_i,[a_i,b_i]} \\
= \;& ((-1)^{a_i}[\frac{\alpha_{b_i+1,r_i}+\alpha_{b_i,r_i}}{m_{r_i}}]\cdots[\frac{\alpha_{a_i+2,r_i}+(\alpha_{a_i,r_i}+\alpha_{a_i+1,r_i})'}{m_{r_i}}] \\
& +(-1)^{a_i+1}[\frac{\alpha_{b_i+1,r_i}+\alpha_{b_i,r_i}}{m_{r_i}}]\cdots[\frac{(\alpha_{a_i+1,r_i}+\alpha_{a_i+2,r_i})'+\alpha_{a_i,r_i}}{m_{r_i}}] \\
& +(-1)^{a_i+2}[\frac{\alpha_{b_i+1,r_i}+\alpha_{b_i,r_i}}{m_{r_i}}]\cdots[\frac{\alpha_{a_i+4,r_i}+(\alpha_{a_i+2,r_i}+\alpha_{a_i+3,r_i})'}{m_{r_i}}][\frac{\alpha_{a_i,r_i}+\alpha_{a_i+1,r_i}}{m_{r_i}}] \\
& +\cdots \\
& +(-1)^{b_i}[\frac{(\alpha_{b_i,r_i}+\alpha_{b_i+1,r_i})'+\alpha_{b_i-1,r_i}}{m_{r_i}}]\cdots[\frac{\alpha_{a_i+1,r_i}+\alpha_{a_i,r_i}}{m_{r_i}}])\cdot \\
& g_1^{\alpha_{b_i+1,1}+\cdots+\alpha_{a_i,1}}\cdots g_{r_i-1}^{\alpha_{b_i+1,r_i-1}+\cdots+\alpha_{a_i,r_i-1}} \\
= \;& ((-1)^{a_i}[\frac{\alpha_{b_i+1,r_i}+\alpha_{b_i,r_i}}{m_{r_i}}]\cdots[\frac{\alpha_{a_i+2,r_i}+\alpha_{a_i+1,r_i}}{m_{r_i}}]+(-1)^{b_i}[\frac{\alpha_{b_i,r_i}+\alpha_{b_i-1,r_i}}{m_{r_i}}]\cdots[\frac{\alpha_{a_i+1,r_i}+\alpha_{a_i,r_i}}{m_{r_i}}])\cdot \\
& g_1^{\alpha_{b_i+1,1}+\cdots+\alpha_{a_i,1}}\cdots g_{r_i-1}^{\alpha_{b_i+1,r_i-1}+\cdots+\alpha_{a_i,r_i-1}} \\
= \;& (-1)^{b_i}\xi^{\alpha}_{r_i,[a_i,b_i]}g_1^{\alpha_{b_i+1,1}}\cdots g_{r_i-1}^{\alpha_{b_i+1,r_i-1}}+(-1)^{a_i}\xi^{\alpha}_{r_i,[a_i+1,b_i+1]}g_1^{\alpha_{a_i,1}}\cdots g_{r_i-1}^{\alpha_{a_i,r_i-1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

If $a_i - b_i$ is even,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{u=a_i}^{b_i}(-1)^u\xi^{\alpha'_u}_{r_i,[a_i,b_i]}\\
=\;&(-1)^{a_i}[\frac{\alpha_{b_i+1,r_i}+\alpha_{b_i,r_i}}{m_{r_i}}]\cdots[\frac{\alpha_{a_i+3,r_i}+\alpha_{a_i+2,r_i}}{m_{r_i}}]g_1^{\alpha_{b_i+1,1}+\alpha_{a_i,1}}\cdots g_{r_i-1}^{\alpha_{b_i+1,r_i-1}+\cdots+\alpha_{a_i,r_i-1}}\cdot\\
&(g_{r_i})_{(\alpha_{a_i,r_i}+\alpha_{a_i+1,r_i})'}\\
&+((-1)^{a_i+1}[\frac{\alpha_{b_i+1,r_i}+\alpha_{b_i,r_i}}{m_{r_i}}]\cdots[\frac{\alpha_{a_i+3,r_i}+(\alpha_{a_i+1,r_i}+\alpha_{a_i+2,r_i})'}{m_{r_i}}]\\
&+(-1)^{a_i+2}[\frac{\alpha_{b_i+1,r_i}+\alpha_{b_i,r_i}}{m_{r_i}}]\cdots[\frac{(\alpha_{a_i+2,r_i}+\alpha_{a_i+3,r_i})'+\alpha_{a_i+1,r_i}}{m_{r_i}}]\\
&+\cdots\\
&+(-1)^{b_i}[\frac{(\alpha_{b_i,r_i}+\alpha_{b_i+1,r_i})'+\alpha_{b_i-1,r_i}}{m_{r_i}}]\cdots[\frac{\alpha_{a_i+2,r_i}+\alpha_{a_i+1,r_i}}{m_{r_i}}])\cdot\\
&g_1^{\alpha_{b_i+1,1}+\cdots+\alpha_{a_i,1}}\cdots g_{r_i-1}^{\alpha_{b_i+1,r_i-1}+\cdots+\alpha_{a_i,r_i-1}}(g_{r_i})_{\alpha_{a_i,r_i}}\\
=\;&(-1)^{a_i}[\frac{\alpha_{b_i+1,r_i}+\alpha_{b_i,r_i}}{m_{r_i}}]\cdots[\frac{\alpha_{a_i+3,r_i}+\alpha_{a_i+2,r_i}}{m_{r_i}}]g_1^{\alpha_{b_i+1,1}+\alpha_{a_i,1}}\cdots g_{r_i-1}^{\alpha_{b_i+1,r_i-1}+\cdots+\alpha_{a_i,r_i-1}}\cdot\\
&((g_{r_i})_{\alpha_{a_i,r_i}+\alpha_{a_i+1,r_i}}-[\frac{\alpha_{a_i,r_i}+\alpha_{a_i+1,r_i}}{m_{r_i}}]N_r)\\
&+((-1)^{a_i+1}[\frac{\alpha_{b_i+1,r_i}+\alpha_{b_i,r_i}}{m_{r_i}}]\cdots[\frac{\alpha_{a_i+3,r_i}+(\alpha_{a_i+1,r_i}+\alpha_{a_i+2,r_i})'}{m_{r_i}}]\\
&+(-1)^{a_i+2}[\frac{\alpha_{b_i+1,r_i}+\alpha_{b_i,r_i}}{m_{r_i}}]\cdots[\frac{(\alpha_{a_i+2,r_i}+\alpha_{a_i+3,r_i})'+\alpha_{a_i+1,r_i}}{m_{r_i}}]\\
&+\cdots\\
&+(-1)^{b_i}[\frac{(\alpha_{b_i,r_i}+\alpha_{b_i+1,r_i})'+\alpha_{b_i-1,r_i}}{m_{r_i}}]\cdots[\frac{\alpha_{a_i+2,r_i}+\alpha_{a_i+1,r_i}}{m_{r_i}}])\cdot\\
&g_1^{\alpha_{b_i+1,1}+\cdots+\alpha_{a_i,1}}\cdots g_{r_i-1}^{\alpha_{b_i+1,r_i-1}+\cdots+\alpha_{a_i,r_i-1}}(g_{r_i})_{\alpha_{a_i,r_i}}\\
=\;&(-1)^{a_i+1}\xi^{\alpha}_{r_i,[a_i,b_i+1]}\lambda_{r_i,[a_i,b_i+1]}\\
&+(-1)^{a_i}\xi^{\alpha}_{r_i,[a_i+2,b_i+1]}g_1^{\alpha_{a_i,1}+\alpha_{a_i+1,1}}\cdots g_{r_i-1}^{\alpha_{a_i,r_i-1}+\alpha_{a_i+1,r_i-1}}(g_{r_i})_{\alpha_{a_i,r_i}+\alpha_{a_i+1,r_i}}\\
&+((-1)^{b_i}\xi^{\alpha}_{r_i,[a_i+1,b_i]}g_1^{\alpha_{b_i+1,1}}\cdots g_{r_i-1}^{\alpha_{b_i+1,r_i-1}}+(-1)^{a_i+1}\xi^{\alpha}_{r_i,[a_i+2,b_i+1]}g_1^{\alpha_{a_i+1,1}}\cdots g_{r_i-1}^{\alpha_{a_i+1,r_i-1}})\xi^{\alpha}_{r_i,[a_i,a_i]}\\
=\;&(-1)^{a_i+1}\xi^{\alpha}_{r_i,[a_i,b_i+1]}\lambda_{r_i,[a_i,b_i+1]}\\
&+(-1)^{b_i}\xi^{\alpha}_{r_i,[a_i,b_i]}g_1^{\alpha_{b_i+1,1}}\cdots g_{r_i-1}^{\alpha_{b_i+1,r_i-1}}+(-1)^{a_i}\xi^{\alpha}_{r_i,[a_i+1,b_i+1]}g_1^{\alpha_{a_i,1}}\cdots g_{r_i}^{\alpha_{a_i,r_i}}
\end{aligned}
$$

The proof is completed. □

3. Normalized 4-cocycles

3.1. **Normalized 4-cocycles.** Define S to be the set of all sequences like

$$
\begin{aligned}
&(a_{12},\ldots,a_{rs},\ldots,a_{n-1,n},b_{12},\ldots,b_{rs},\ldots,b_{n-1,n},a_{123},\ldots,a_{rst},\ldots,a_{n-2,n-1,n},\\
&b_{123},\ldots,b_{rst},\ldots,b_{n-2,n-1,n},a_{1234},\ldots,a_{rstu},\ldots,a_{n-3,n-2,n-1,n})
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.1}
$$

such that $0 \le a_{rs}, b_{rs} < (m_r, m_s)$ for $1 \le r < s \le n$, $0 \le a_{rst}, b_{rst} < (m_r, m_s, m_t)$ for $1 \le r < s < t \le n$, $0 \le a_{rstu} < (m_r, m_s, m_t, m_u)$ for $1 \le r < s < t < u \le n$ where a_{rs}, b_{rs}, a_{rst}, b_{rst} and a_{rstu} are ordered by the lexicographical order. In the following, the sequence (3.1) is denoted by $\underline{\mathbf{s}}$ for short. For any $\underline{\mathbf{s}} \in S$, define a $\mathbb{Z}G$-module morphism:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\omega_{\underline{\mathbf{s}}}: \ B_4 \longrightarrow \Bbbk^* \\
&[g_1^{i_1}\cdots g_n^{i_n}, g_1^{j_1}\cdots g_n^{j_n}, g_1^{k_1}\cdots g_n^{k_n}, g_1^{l_1}\cdots g_n^{l_n}] \mapsto \\
&\prod_{1\le r<s\le n} \zeta_{(m_r,m_s)}^{-a_{rs}i_sj_r[\frac{k_r+l_r}{m_r}]-b_{rs}i_sl_r[\frac{j_s+k_s}{m_s}]} \\
&\prod_{1\le r<s<t\le n} \zeta_{m_r}^{-(a_{rst}u\frac{m_r}{(m_r,m_s,m_t)}+b_{rst}\frac{-m_s}{(m_s,m_t)})i_tl_r[\frac{j_s+k_s}{m_s}]-(a_{rst}v\frac{m_r}{(m_r,m_s,m_t)}+b_{rst}\frac{m_t}{(m_s,m_t)})k_sl_r[\frac{i_t+j_t}{m_t}]} \\
&\prod_{1\le r<s<t<u\le n} \zeta_{(m_r,m_s,m_t,m_u)}^{a_{rstu}i_uj_tk_sl_r}
\end{aligned} \tag{3.2}
$$

where $u, v \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $m_tu + m_sv = (m_s, m_t)$.

Proposition 3.1. *Suppose that $\Bbbk$ is an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero and $G = \mathbb{Z}_{m_1} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_{m_n}$. Then $\{\omega_{\underline{\mathbf{s}}} | \underline{\mathbf{s}} \in S\}$ is a complete set of representatives of normalized 4-cocycles on G.*

Proof. This is a direct consequence of Corollary 2.5 and the definition of the map F_4 given in Proposition 2.7. □

4. Normalized n-cocycles

4.1. **Normalized n-cocycles.** The chain map F_k induces $F_k^* : \mathrm{Hom}(K_k.\Bbbk^*) \to \mathrm{Hom}(B_k, \Bbbk^*)$. $f \in \mathrm{Hom}(K_k, \Bbbk^*)$ is a cocycle (or coboundary) $\Leftrightarrow F_k^*(f)$ is a cocycle (or coboundary). If $\omega \in \mathrm{Hom}(B_k, \Bbbk^*)$ is a cocycle, then $\omega = F_k^*(f)$ for some $f \in \mathrm{Hom}(K_k, \Bbbk^*)$. Denote

$$
\delta^{\alpha}_{r,[a,b]} := \begin{cases} [\frac{\alpha_{br}+\alpha_{b-1,r}}{m_r}]\cdots[\frac{\alpha_{a+1,r}+\alpha_{ar}}{m_r}] & a-b \text{ odd} \\ [\frac{\alpha_{br}+\alpha_{b-1,r}}{m_r}]\cdots[\frac{\alpha_{a+2,r}+\alpha_{a+1,r}}{m_r}]\alpha_{ar} & a-b \text{ even} \end{cases}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\omega([g_1^{\alpha_{11}}\cdots g_n^{\alpha_{1n}}, \ldots, g_1^{\alpha_{k1}}\cdots g_n^{\alpha_{kn}}]) \\
&= \prod_{l=1}^{k} \prod_{1\le r_1<\cdots<r_l\le n} (f(\Phi_{\underbrace{r_1,\ldots,r_1}_{\lambda_1},\ldots,\underbrace{r_l,\ldots,r_l}_{\lambda_l}}))^{(-1)^{\sum_{1\le i<j\le l}\lambda_i\lambda_j}\delta^{\alpha}_{r_1,[a_1,b_1]}\cdots\delta^{\alpha}_{r_l,[a_l,b_l]}}
\end{aligned} \tag{4.1}
$$

where $a_l = 1, b_l = \lambda_l, \ldots, a_1 = \lambda_2 + \cdots + \lambda_l + 1, b_1 = \lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_l = k$.

If $f \in \mathrm{Hom}(K_k, \Bbbk^*)$ is a cocycle, then $1 = d^*(f)(\Phi_{r_1,r_1,r_2,\ldots,r_k}) = f(d(\Phi_{r_1,r_1,r_2,\ldots,r_k})) = (f(\Phi_{r_1,r_2,\ldots,r_k}))^{m_{r_1}}$. Similarly, $(f(\Phi_{r_1,r_2,\ldots,r_k}))^{m_{r_1}} = \cdots = (f(\Phi_{r_1,r_2,\ldots,r_k}))^{m_{r_k}} = 1$. Hence we have $f(\Phi_{r_1,r_2,\ldots,r_k}) = \zeta_{(m_{r_1},\ldots,m_{r_k})}^{a_{r_1\cdots r_k}}$ where $\zeta_{(m_{r_1},\ldots,m_{r_k})}$ is a $(m_{r_1},\ldots,m_{r_k})$-th primitive root of 1 and $0 \le a_{r_1\cdots r_k} < (m_{r_1},\ldots,m_{r_k})$.

5. The DW invariant of the n-torus

5.1. **Preparation.** Recall that (See [3] Page 89) BG has a simplicial model, in which a k-simplex is an ordered k-tuple $[x_1|\cdots|x_k]$ with $x_i \in G$, and

$$\partial[x_1|\cdots|x_k] = [x_2|\cdots|x_k] + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1}(-1)^i[x_1|\cdots|x_ix_{i+1}|\cdots|x_k] + (-1)^k[x_1|\cdots|x_{k-1}].$$

So we can identify $H^n(BG;U(1))$ and $H^n(G;U(1))$.

5.2. **The DW invariant of the n-torus.**

Lemma 5.1. *If ξ is a d-th primitive root of 1, then $d^n|\sum_{A\in M_n(\mathbb{Z}_d)}\xi^{\det A}$. And if we denote $N_n(d) = \frac{\sum_{A\in M_n(\mathbb{Z}_d)}\xi^{\det A}}{d^n}$, then $N_n(d)$ is a multiplicative function of d, that is, if $d = d_1d_2$ where $(d_1,d_2) = 1$, then $N_n(d) = N_n(d_1)N_n(d_2)$. Moreover,*

$$N_n(p^m) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} p^{m(n-2)}p^{(m-i)(n-2)(n-1)}N_{n-1}(p^i)(p^{ni} - p^{n(i-1)}) + p^{m(n-2)n}$$

where p is a prime.

Proof. If $A = (a_{ij}) \in M_n(\mathbb{Z}_d)$, $\det A = a_{11}A_{11} + \cdots + a_{1n}A_{1n}$ where A_{ij} is the algebraic cofactor of a_{ij}.

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{A\in M_n(\mathbb{Z}_d)}\xi^{\det A} &= \sum_{a_{11}=0}^{d-1}\xi^{a_{11}A_{11}}\cdots\sum_{a_{1n}=0}^{d-1}\xi^{a_{1n}A_{1n}}\\ &= d^n\#\{B\in M_{(n-1)\times n}(\mathbb{Z}_d)|\text{all } (n-1)\text{-minors of } B \text{ are } 0\}\end{aligned}$$

Hence $N_n(d) = \#\{B \in M_{(n-1)\times n}(\mathbb{Z}_d)|\text{all } (n-1)\text{-minors of } B \text{ are } 0\}$ If $B = (b_{ij}) \in M_{(n-1)\times n}(\mathbb{Z}_d)$ is such that all $(n-1)$-minors of B are 0, define $\mathrm{ord}(b_{11},\ldots,b_{1n})$ to be the smallest integer r such that $d|rb_{11},\ldots,d|rb_{1n}$, then $\mathrm{ord}(b_{11},\ldots,b_{1n})|d$. Now suppose $d = p^m$ where p is prime. If $\mathrm{ord}(b_{11},\ldots,b_{1n}) = p^i$, $0\le i\le m$. Then $p^ib_{11} = p^m\widetilde{b_{11}},\ldots,p^ib_{1n} = p^m\widetilde{b_{1n}}$. For $i\ge 1$, if $p|\widetilde{b_{11}},\ldots,p|\widetilde{b_{1n}}$, then $\mathrm{ord}(b_{11},\ldots,b_{1n})\le p^{i-1}$, contradiction. Without loss of generality, we assume $\widetilde{b_{11}}$ is not divided by p. Then $\begin{pmatrix}\overline{\widetilde{b_{11}}} & \overline{\widetilde{b_{12}}} & \cdots & \overline{\widetilde{b_{1n}}}\\ \overline{0} & \overline{1} & \cdots & \overline{0}\\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots\\ \overline{0} & \overline{0} & \cdots & \overline{1}\end{pmatrix} = P$ is invertible in $M_n(\mathbb{Z}_{p^m})$. $(\overline{b_{11}},\ldots,\overline{b_{1n}})P^{-1} = (\overline{p^{m-i}},\overline{0},\ldots,\overline{0})$. Assume $(\overline{b_{i1}},\ldots,\overline{b_{in}})P^{-1} = (\overline{b'_{i1}},\ldots,\overline{b'_{in}})$ for $i = 2,\ldots,n-1$. Since every $(n-1)$-minors of B are 0, we have every $(n-1)$-minors of $\begin{pmatrix}\overline{p^{m-i}} & \overline{0} & \cdots & \overline{0}\\ \overline{b'_{21}} & \overline{b'_{22}} & \cdots & \overline{b'_{2n}}\\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots\\ \overline{b'_{n-1,1}} & \overline{b'_{n-1,2}} & \cdots & \overline{b'_{n-1,n}}\end{pmatrix}$ are 0. Hence every $(n-2)$-minors of

$\begin{pmatrix} \overline{b'_{22}} & \cdots & \overline{b'_{2n}} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \overline{b'_{n-1,2}} & \cdots & \overline{b'_{n-1,n}} \end{pmatrix}$ are 0 modulo p^i. So we have

$$N_n(p^m) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} p^{m(n-2)} p^{(m-i)(n-2)(n-1)} N_{n-1}(p^i)(p^{ni} - p^{n(i-1)}) + p^{m(n-2)n}.$$

Clearly, we have $N_n(d_1d_2) = N_n(d_1)N_n(d_2)$ if $(d_1, d_2) = 1$. So if $d = p_1^{m_1} \cdots p_r^{m_r}$ where $p_1, \ldots, p_r$ are distinct primes, then $N_n(d) = N_n(p_1^{m_1}) \cdots N_n(p_r^{m_r})$. □

Theorem 5.2. *The Dijkgraaf-Witten invariant of the n-torus is*

$$Z^{[\omega]}(T^n) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{f_1,\ldots,f_n \in G} \frac{\prod_{(f'_1,\ldots,f'_n) \text{ is an even permutation of } (f_1,\ldots,f_n)} \omega(f'_1, \ldots, f'_n)}{\prod_{(f'_1,\ldots,f'_n) \text{ is an odd permutation of } (f_1,\ldots,f_n)} \omega(f'_1, \ldots, f'_n)}. \tag{5.1}$$

If G is the product of at most $n-1$ cyclic groups, then $Z^{[\omega]}(T^n) = |G|^{n-1}$. If G is the product of n cyclic groups, $G = \mathbb{Z}_{m_1} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_{m_n}$, then $Z^{[\omega]}(T^n) = \frac{|G|^{n-1}}{d^{n(n-1)}} N_n(d)$ where $d = \frac{(m_1,\ldots,m_n)}{(m_1,\ldots,m_n,a_{1\cdots n})}$. If G is the product of $l(> n)$ cyclic groups, $G = \mathbb{Z}_{m_1} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_{m_l}$, then

$$Z^{[\omega]}(T^n) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{A} \prod_{1 \le r_1 < \cdots < r_n \le l} \zeta_{(m_{r_1},\ldots,m_{r_n})}^{a_{r_1\cdots r_n} \det A \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \cdots & n \\ r_1 & \cdots & r_n \end{pmatrix}}$$

where $A = (\alpha_{ij})$ and $0 \le \alpha_{ij} < m_j$ for $1 \le i \le n$.

Proof. T^n is obtained by gluing parallel edges of an n-dimensional cube. The cube can be subdivided into $n!$ n-simplexes such that each n-simplex has n successive edges in common with the cube. If we label the remaining n edges of the cube after gluing by $f_1, \ldots, f_n \in G$, then each n-simplex is uniquely determined by an permutation $(f'_1, \ldots, f'_n)$ of $(f_1, \ldots, f_n)$. The fundamental class $[T^n]$ is represented by an n-chain $\sigma : \Delta^n \to T^n$ where σ is the sum of those n-simplexes with the sign of which is positive if the permutation is even and negative else. If we identify $H^n(BG; U(1))$ and $H^n(G; U(1))$, when ϕ runs over all group homomorphisms from $\pi_1(T^n)$ to G,

$$\omega((f_\phi)_*([T^n])) = \frac{\prod_{(f'_1,\ldots,f'_n) \text{ is an even permutation of } (f_1,\ldots,f_n)} \omega(f'_1, \ldots, f'_n)}{\prod_{(f'_1,\ldots,f'_n) \text{ is an odd permutation of } (f_1,\ldots,f_n)} \omega(f'_1, \ldots, f'_n)}$$

where $f_1, \ldots, f_n$ runs over G. Hence (5.1) holds.

In equation (4.1), if $\lambda_i > 1$ for some $i \in \{1, \ldots, l\}$, then $b_i > a_i$ and $\delta^{\alpha}_{r_i,[a_i,b_i]}$ contains the term $[\frac{\alpha_{b_i,r_i} + \alpha_{b_i-1,r_i}}{m_{r_i}}]$ which will be cancelled in each summand of (5.1) since it is stable under the transposition $(b_i, b_i - 1)$. Hence if G is the product of at most $n-1$ cyclic groups, then each summand of (5.1) is 1 and $Z^{[\omega]}(T^n) = |G|^{n-1}$. If G is the product of n

cyclic groups, $G = \mathbb{Z}_{m_1} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_{m_n}$, then equation (5.1) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} Z^{[\omega]}(T^n) &= \frac{1}{|G|}\sum_A (f(\Phi_{1,\ldots,n}))^{\sum_{\sigma\in S_n}(-1)^{\text{sign of }\sigma}(-1)^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}}\alpha_{\sigma(n)1}\cdots\alpha_{\sigma(1)n}} \\ &= \frac{1}{|G|}\sum_A (f(\Phi_{1,\ldots,n}))^{\sum_{\sigma\in S_n}(-1)^{\text{sign of }\sigma}\alpha_{\sigma(1)1}\cdots\alpha_{\sigma(n)n}} \\ &= \frac{1}{|G|}\sum_A (f(\Phi_{1,\ldots,n}))^{\det A} \\ &= \frac{1}{|G|}\sum_A (\zeta_{(m_1,\ldots,m_n)}^{a_{1\cdots n}})^{\det A} \end{aligned}$$

where $A = (\alpha_{ij})$ and $0 \le \alpha_{ij} < m_j$ for $1 \le i \le n$. Denote $\xi = \zeta_{(m_1,\ldots,m_n)}^{a_{1\cdots n}}$, then ξ is a d-th primitive root of 1 where $d = \frac{(m_1,\ldots,m_n)}{(m_1,\ldots,m_n,a_{1\cdots n})}$.

$$\begin{aligned} Z^{[\omega]}(T^n) &= \frac{1}{|G|}\sum_A \xi^{\det A} \\ &= \frac{1}{|G|}(\frac{m_1}{d}\cdots\frac{m_n}{d})^n \sum_{A\in M_n(\mathbb{Z}_d)} \xi^{\det A} \\ &= \frac{|G|^{n-1}}{d^{n^2}} \sum_{A\in M_n(\mathbb{Z}_d)} \xi^{\det A} \end{aligned}$$

Hence Lemma 5.1 completes the proof. □

6. Projective representations and DW invariant of T^2

Corollary 6.1. *If $G = \mathbb{Z}_{m_1} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_{m_l}$, $m_1|m_2|\cdots|m_l$, then $Z^{[\omega]}(T^2) = \frac{|G|}{d^2}$, where $d = \sqrt{\frac{m_l}{(m_l,\lambda_1)}\cdots\frac{m_l}{(m_l,\lambda_l)}}$ is the dimension of irreducible projective representations of G and $\lambda_1|\lambda_2|\cdots|\lambda_l$ are the invariant factors of the antisymmetric matrix $B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & b_{12} & \ldots & b_{1l} \\ -b_{12} & 0 & \ldots & b_{2l} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ -b_{1l} & -b_{2l} & \ldots & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ where $b_{ij} = \frac{m_l}{m_i}a_{ij}$.*

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_A \prod_{1\le r_1<r_2\le l} \zeta_{(m_{r_1},m_{r_2})}^{a_{r_1r_2}\det A\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ r_1 & r_2 \end{pmatrix}} \\ &\qquad \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{11} & \ldots & \alpha_{1l} \end{pmatrix} B \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{21} \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_{2l} \end{pmatrix} \\ = \ &\frac{1}{(\frac{m_l}{m_1})^2\cdots(\frac{m_l}{m_{l-1}})^2} \sum_{0\le\alpha_{ij}<m_l} \zeta_{m_l} \end{aligned}$$

where $A = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{11} & \dots & \alpha_{1l} \\ \alpha_{21} & \dots & \alpha_{2l} \end{pmatrix}$ and $0 \leq \alpha_{ij} < m_j$. There exist $P, Q \in GL_l(\mathbb{Z})$ which are the products of the elementary matrices of first and second classes such that

$$B = P \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \lambda_l \end{pmatrix} Q$$

where $\lambda_1 | \lambda_2 | \cdots | \lambda_l$ are the invariant factors of B. Since P, Q are the products of the elementary matrices of first and second classes, the are also invertible modulo $\mathbb{Z}_{m_l}$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{0 \leq \alpha_{ij} < m_l} \zeta_{m_l}^{\begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{11} & \dots & \alpha_{1l} \end{pmatrix} B \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{21} \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_{2l} \end{pmatrix}} \\ = & \sum_{0 \leq \alpha_{ij} < m_l} \zeta_{m_l}^{\begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{11} & \dots & \alpha_{1l} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \lambda_l \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{21} \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_{2l} \end{pmatrix}} \\ = & \sum_{\alpha_{11}=0}^{m_l-1} \sum_{\alpha_{21}=0}^{m_l-1} \zeta_{m_l}^{\alpha_{11}\lambda_1\alpha_{21}} \cdots \sum_{\alpha_{1l}=0}^{m_l-1} \sum_{\alpha_{2l}=0}^{m_l-1} \zeta_{m_l}^{\alpha_{1l}\lambda_l\alpha_{2l}} \end{aligned}$$

Using the fact that if $\xi^m = 1$, then

$$\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \xi^{id} = \begin{cases} m & \text{if } \xi^d = 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } \xi^d \neq 1 \end{cases}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} Z^{[\omega]}(T^2) &= \frac{1}{|G|} \frac{1}{(\frac{m_l}{m_1})^2 \cdots (\frac{m_l}{m_{l-1}})^2} m_l^l (m_l, \lambda_1) \cdots (m_l, \lambda_l) \\ &= \frac{|G|}{\frac{m_l}{(m_l,\lambda_1)} \cdots \frac{m_l}{(m_l,\lambda_l)}} \end{aligned}$$

There is another formula for $Z^{[\omega]}(T^2)$ give in [6]. $Z^{[\omega]}(T^2) = |G_0|$ where G_0 is the set of all ω-regular elements in G, see [5]. Combining these two formulae and the facts in [5], we have $d = \dim \rho$ where ρ is an irreducible projective representation of G. □

References

[1] Dijkgraaf, Robbert; Witten, Edward: *Topological gauge theories and group cohomology.* Comm. Math. Phys. 129 (1990), no. 2, 393-429.

[2] Freed, Daniel S.: *Higher algebraic structures and quantization.* Comm. Math. Phys. 159 (2) (1994) 343-398.

[3] Hatcher, Allen: *Algebraic topology.* Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2002.

[4] Huang, Hua-Lin; Liu, Gongxiang; Ye, Yu: *On braided linear Gr-categories.* arXiv:1310.1529 [math.CT].

[5] Karpilovsky, Gregory: *Projective Representations of Finite Groups.* Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 94, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 1985.

[6] Turaev, Vladimir G.: *Dijkgraaf-Witten invariants of surfaces and projective representations of groups.* J. Geom. Phys. 57 (11) (2007) 2419-2430.

[7] Weibel, Charles A.: *An introduction to homological algebra*. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 38. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1994.

School of Mathematical Sciences, Huaqiao University, Quanzhou 362021, China

E-mail address: hualin.huang@hqu.edu.cn

School of Mathematics, USTC, Hefei 230026, China

E-mail address: wanzy@mail.ustc.edu.cn

School of Mathematics, USTC, Hefei 230026, China

E-mail address: yeyu@ustc.edu.cn